

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,258	03/15/2004	Aaron M. Lamstein	545.44	2246
75	590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER	
DERGOSITS & NOAH LLP			SILBERMANN, JOANNE	
Suite 1450		•		
Four Embarcadero Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco, CA 94111			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/801,258	LAMSTEIN, AARON M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joanne Silbermann	3611		

	Joanne Silbermann	3011	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailings). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		OTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			41 - C
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	eiected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	□ will not be entered, or b) □ will not be entered. □ wided below or appended. □ will not be entered, or b) □ will not be entered. □ will not be entered, or b) □ will not be entered. □ will not be entered, or b) □ will not be entered. □	rill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	•		nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(P10/SB/08 or P10-1449) Paper	NO(S)	
13. [] Other		Joanne Silberman Primary Examiner	in
		Art Unit: 3611	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's "Remarks" have been considered to be a Request for Consideration and have been treated as such.

Regarding the Official Notice taken by the examiner, since Applicant has not requested prior art showing a label on a tube of paint, this is now taken to be admitted prior art.

Applicant argues that Anderson and Ali are non-analogous and do not teach any motivation to make the combination. Both references are displays and provide information to viewers/consumers. The fact that they are intended to display different articles does not make them non-analogous.

Applicant argues that the references do not show the products as "spaced apart" from the display, however, this is shown by Anderson. The display is at the top of the holder and the products are below it, on the shelves. Applicant's arguments appear to be much narrower than the existing claim language.